JOURNAL OF ENGLISH EDUCATION, LINGUISTICS AND LITERATURE





Volume 2, No. 2 Februari 2016



BOARD OF ADVISORS:

- 1. Dr. H. WINARDI, SH, M.HUM
- 2. Drs.H. ASMUNI SYUKIR,-M.SI
- 3. Dra. SITI MAISAROH, M.Pd
- 4. Dr. AGUS PRIANTO, M.Pd

REVIEWER:

- 1. Prof. Dr. MOH. KHUSNURIDLO, M.Pd (IAIN Jember)
- 2 Prof. Dr. AGUS WARDHONO, M.Pd (Universitas Ronggolawe Tub
- 3. ERI KURNIA WAN, Ph.D. (UPI Bandung)
- 4. Drs. ADIB DARMA WAN, M.A (STKIP PGRI Jombang)
- 5. HENDRA DARMA WAN, M.A (UAD Jogjakarta)
- 6. RIF' AH INAY ATI, M.A (Universitas Trunojoyo Madura)

CHAIRPERSON:

Drs. ADIB DARMAW AN, M.A

CIDEF OF EDITOR:

AANG FATIHUL ISLAM, M.Pd

SECRETARY:

M. SAIBANI WIYANTO, M.Pd

BOARD OF EDITORS:

- 1. RUKMININGSIH, M.Pd (STKIP PGRI Jombang)
- 2. YUNITA PUSPITASARI, M.Pd (STKIP PGRI Jombang)
- 3. WARDANI DWI WIHASTYANANG, M.Pd (STKIP PGRI Jombang)
- 4. BANU WICAKSONO, M.Pd (STKIP PGRI Jombang)
- 5. AFI NI' AMAH, M.Pd (STKIP PGRI Jombang)
- 6. DIAN ANIK CAHYANI, M.Pd (STKIP PGRI Jombang)
- 7. ABU FANANI, M.Pd (UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya)
- 8. DUMARIS E SILALAHI, M.Pd (Universitas HKBP Nommensen Pematangsiantar)
- 9. SUGIANTO, S.Pd, M.PD.B.I. (IKIP Mataram)
- 10. RIKAT EKA PRASTYAWAN, M.Pd (UNIPA Surabaya)
- 11. HASAN KHALA WI, M.Pd (STKIP PGRI Pacitan)

LAYOUT & COVER DESIGN

M. SYAIFUDDIN S.

TE-PL D THE OF=: L • F. EL:..

TE.z

THE ON DEP Rukn

Н....

USIN PRO Putu STUI CON Danii

THE.

SECO VAG PEM Bobby

IL T Abu F

THE PROC Ima C

_.\ DL TCD Idham

Content

THE EFFECT OF TASK-BASED INSTRUCTION (TBI) TECHNIQUE IN TEACHING 01-07 TEFL I AT ENGLISH DEPARTMENT OF FKIP UNIVERSITAS HKBP NOMMENSEN PEMATANGSIANTAR Dutnaris E. Silalahi

THE EFFECT OFF ACEBOOK IN IMPROVING STUDENT'S IDENTIFICATION08-12OF EXPLICIT MEANING IN READING COMPREHENSIONLailatus Sa 'adah, ChalimahFACTORS AFFECTING BEGINNERS' ENGLISH ABILITY AT A REMOTE13-17ELEMENTARY SCHOOLHeri Hidayatullah, Sofia Maurisa

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TEACHING GRAMMAR IN WRITING CONTEXT AS18-25COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO THE FOURTH SEMSTER OF ENGLISHDEPARTMENT OF STKIP PGRI JOMBANGSCHOOLRukminingsih, Hartia Novianti18-25

USING YOTUBE VIDEO CLIP TO IMPROVE STUDENTS' WRITING SKILL ON 26-35 PROCEDURAL TEXT FOR SEVENTH Putu Ngurah Rusmawan STUDENTS' PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES IN PRODUCING ENGLISH 36-40 CONSONANTAL SOUNDS Daning Hentasmaka, Rosi Anjarwati, and Ima Chusnul Chotimah

THE ACQUISITION ORDERS OF ENGLISH SENTENCE TYPE OF HOMOGENOUS 41-47 LINGUISTICS BACKGROUND; SASAK LINGUISTIC BACKGROUND Muliani, Tawali, Aprianoto

STYLISTICSFEATURES IN PERSONAL ESSAY OF ENGLISH DEPARTMENT48-53STUDENTSAang Fatihul Islam, Dian Anik Cahyani, Ika Lusi Kristanti

IVAN ILYCH'S STRUGGLE FOR SURVIVAL IN TOLSTOY'S THE DEATH OF IVAN 60-66 ILYCH FATALISM PERSPECTIVE *Abu Fanani* THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING "PORTO FOLIO" IN TEACHING AND LEARNING 67-73 PROCESS *Ima Chusnul Chotimah*

A DESCRIPTIVE STUDY OF CTL IMPLEMENTATION IN SEVENTH YEAR 74-79 STUDENTS OF EXCELLENT CLASS IN MTsN TAMBAKBERAS JOMBANG Idham Khalid J~~~~.~.,-.,,.1.~

Volume 2

No 2, Pebruari 2016

JEELL

Page 18-25

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TEACHING GRAMMAR IN WRITING CONTEXT AS COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO THE FOURTH SEMSTER OF ENGLISH DEPARTMENT OF STKIP PGRI JOMBANGSCHOOL

Rukminingsih, Hartia Novianti English Department STKIP PGRI Jombang

Abstrak: Grammar adalah salah satu masalah yang paling sulit dari pengajaran bahasa. Tata bahasa dalam menulis konteks memegang tempat yang penting untuk memotivasi peserta didik. Jika tata bahasa yang diajarkan dalam pendekatan komunikatif siswa akan memiliki kesempatan untuk melihat bagaimana struktur tata bahasa yang baik. Ini mencakup pengetahuan tentang bagaimana menggunakan bahasa yang dengan psikolinguistik (Anderson 2005) telah disebut pengetahuan prosedural atau pengetahuan implisit sebagai lawan pengetahuan deklaratif atau pengetahuan eksplisit. Bahkan, banyak ahli bahasa terapan percaya bahwa kemampuan peserta didik untuk berkomunikasi dapat dikembangkan bersama pengetahuan mereka berkembang tentang tata bahasa. Banyak kesempatan untuk mengeksplorasi tata bahasa dalam konteks, peserta didik memiliki kesempatan untuk melihat bagaimana dan mengapa berbagai bentuk dapat digunakan untuk mengungkapkan makna komunikatif yang berbeda Grammar dalam konteks tertulis. Sebagai pendekatan komunikatif juga bisa mendorong siswa untuk menggunakan bentuk-bentuk dalam mengekspresikan konten mereka sendiri dan untuk membantu siswa melihat kegunaan dari apa yang telah mereka pelajari (Weaver: 1996). Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa nilai rata-rata di post test lebih tinggi daripada di pretest; dan nilai rata-rata meningkat 18,5 poin setelah perawatan. Sementara nilai rata-rata dari kelompok kontrol yang masing-masing 59,75 dan 64.5 di posttest, yang berarti bahwa nilai rata-rata meningkat 4,75 poin hanya setelah perawatan. Singkat dari kelompok eksperimen lebih tinggi dari kontrol satu Oleh karena itu dapat disimpulkan bahwa siswa diajarkan tata bahasa dalam konteks tertulis sebagai pendekatan komunikatif mendapatkan prestasi yang lebih baik daripada yang diajarkan dalam prakteknya pola latihan.

Kata kunci: Mengajar Grammar, Grammar dalam Konteks Menulis, Pendekatan Komunikatif

Abstract Grammar is one of the most difficult issues of language teaching. Grammar in writing context holds an important place to motivate learners if grammar is taught in communicative approach as students will have an opportunity to perceive how the new grammar structures work. Jt includes knowledge of how to use language which some psycholinguist (Anderson 2005) have called procedural knowledge or implicit knowledge as opposed to declarative knowledge or explicit knowledge. In fact, many applied linguists believe that the learners' ability to communicate can be developed alongside their evolving knowledge about grammar. Through lot of opportunities to explore grammar in context, the learners have the chance to see how and why different forms can be used to express different communicative meanings Grammar in writing context as communicative apptoach also could encourage students to use the forms in expressing their own content and to help students see the usefulness of what they have learned (Weaver 1996). The result of the study showed that the mean score in the posttest was higher than in the pretest; and the mean score increased 18.5 point after treatment. Meanwhile the mean score from the control group were respectively 59.75 and 64.5 in the posttest, meaning that the mean score increased only 4.75 point after treatment. Briefly of the experimental group were higher than control one Therefore it could be concluded that students taught grammar in writing context as communicative approach gam better achievement than those taught in pattern practice drills.

A. INTR

me of th teach ET LE LE PE erad thirs rules of this defi forms a CALT & POINT THE COURSE hured, d DIVERTING !! CATWIN-SILES The CENTRE and kana the disc CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACT REFERENCE and wri MUTITIET would be ní the e and lessing ISSUMIN

BRITISHE

THE THEE

INTECT :

tieles ti

example

THETHER I

THE PERMIT

take g

WITH A

C SUT

And a lot of the lot o

NUT BERE

ent i

CALCULATION OF

STRITES

entience re 100

A. INTRODUCTION

Teaching Grammar is central to the teaching and learning of languages. It is also one of the more difficult aspects of language Many people, including to teach well. language teachers, hear the word "grammar" and think of a fixed set of word forms and rules of usage. Language teachers who adopt this definition focus on grammar as a set of forms and rules. They teach grammar by explaining the forms and rules and then drilling students on them. This results in bored, disaffected students who can produce orrect forms on exercises and tests, but onsistent!y make errors when they try to use he language in context. Language teachers nd language learners are often frustrated by he disconnect between knowing the rules of ¹⁰ ammar and being able to apply those rules automatically in listening, speaking, reading According to Cook (1991) d writing. roficient second or foreign language learners would be able to demonstrate their knowledge of the elements (i.e., phonology, structure, and lexicon) on the context of language skills listening, reading, speaking and writing).

Grammar is taught with the purpose of making clear to the students the orderly their anguage, Grammar is sometimes defined as :: e way words are put together to make correct sentence. Also, Hammer (2001 : 12) states that grammar of a language is the ::escription of the ways in which words can :' ange their forms and can be combined into sentences in that language. If grammar rules are too carelessly violated, communication ay suffer. Thus, good grammar is needed to make good communication. So, we can not haphazardly words anywhere to construct a s ntence. For example, we can not write "Go : will America to." The reader or hearer will surprised or confused of this sentence 2 ause of incorrect grammar. In this case, grammar does not only affect how units of guage are combined in order to " look

There are some problems related to .ea hing grammar, Language teachers and .znguage learners are often frustrated by the .: sconnect between knowing the rules of .: ammar and being able to apply those rules automatically in listening, speaking, reading,

Thi- disconnect reflects a and writing separation berwe n declarative knowledge knowledge. Teaching and procedural help students grammar practice i memorize the form, t students produce the word order, to give inten ive ._~.. through repetition, to provide oppo for feedback and error correction, to give practice in pronouncing new forms, develop confidence. Production is to reduce control and encourage students to find out what they can do, to encourage students to use the forms in expressing their own content, to help students see the usefulness of what they have learned, to check what has been learned and diagnose problems. In order to get more information about grammar, the teachers try to list as many as possible the rules in each case, and the students have already got used to writing down all the rules on their notebook. Teachers try their best to give a clear explanation of each item and try to apply more information to the students. Almost every student spends most of their time memorizing rules of grammar in or after class. Correspondingly, grammar class became the dullest class and most students got confused by so many rules. Many teachers or lecturers give the grammar class by using traditional method. They just have their students memorize the rules and then do the exercises. It is also supported by Weaver (1996) *that* Our traditional teaching of grammar has little transfer to writing situation is underlying behaviorist learning theory that we have simply taken for granted the behaviorist ideas that practice makes perfects.

There are some researches related to the effectiveness of teaching grammar in writing context , Weaver (1996) emphasizes that in teaching grammar in writing context firstly we should give the concept, the pattern of the grammar and some practices or exercises in drills. Weaver in his journal vol. 85 said that teaching grammar in context includes suggestions that we teach a minimum of grammar for maximum benefit.

Grammar is worth studying because it can help us to express our ideas clearly and effectively in both speech and writing. Weakness in wntmg particularly incorrectness of sentence structure- is often

to ad: teach under analy teach and gram they : know in ar mode mode sente Fifth. their know and c Integ Com

in prove prove impo be u langu Dere that explitheir structhey a go essen also

> gram langu can r

pay gram units a tes for

purp or fi nodi some writt fiscu

due to insufficient understanding of grammar. This study, therefore, is focused on teaching grammar in writing context. then an experiment is conducted to compare teaching context grammar in wnnng with conventional grammar. It is intended to prove that teaching grammar in writing context is effective than teaching more grammar conventionally

Teaching GrammarStrategies

Like in any field, language learning and teaching does not occur in vacuum space. There are learner variables and instructional variables that regulate the learning or acquisition of any language Marcia (1991). It's clear that in the cases where accuracy of form is required, it's important for the ESL teacher to know how to focus and to know how to correct errors. The first is learner variables, this deviates on learner's style of learning something.Cronbach and Snow Klitkin et al, in education psychology suggest that there are at leasttwo distinctive ways in which people can learn anything including L2 or foreign languageand this is also supported by Savignon (1997) This requires theteacher to go beyond like fluency and educational background. For instances the need for learners whose course is receptive skill istotally different from those who are have a course for writing and reading. The same happens to learners' needs that are for survival and academic.

According to Ellis (2006) Declarative knowledge is knowledge about something. Declarative knowledge enables a student to describe a rule of grammar and apply it in pattern practice drills. Procedural knowledge is knowledge of how to do something. Procedural knowledge enables a student to apply a rule of grammar in communication. Procedural knowledge does not translate automatically into declarative knowledge; many native speakers can use their language clearly and correctly without being able to state the rules of its grammar. To address the declarative knowledge/procedural knowledge dichotomy, teachers and students should apply the technique which can involve in writing context As communicative approach. Teaching Grammar by employing in writing context can help the students how to apply

various grammar concepts. This can improve the students' ability to communicate through writing context as communicative approach.

Grammar is taught with the goal of making students how to communicate both oral and written correctly. Grammar language is sometimes defined as the rules or the way the words are arranged together to create correct sentences Larson, (2009 :75) states that grammar is a description of the ways in which words can change shape and can be combined into sentences in that language grammar which is ignored. If the rules are obstructed, then the communication may also be obstructed. Thus, good grammar is needed to make good communication So, we can not put words carelessly anywhere to make a sentence.

Teaching GrammarIn Communicative Approach

In rejecting structural language teaching, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) has been proposed to develop competence communicative 111 which activities have been designed to maximize opportunity for communication without ignoring grammar. Communicative approach places great emphasis on helping students use the target language in a variety of contexts and places great emphasis on learning language functions. This means that successfully learning a foreign language is assessed in terms of how well learners have developed their communicative competence. Richard(1986)) has written that a proper understanding of the concept of communicative competence would have revealed that it gives no endorsement for the neglect of grammar.

In CLT, the students are actively engaged, encouraged to become active participants ; they learn from one another through cooperation, discourse, teamwork and self-reflection Meanwhile, the teachers act as facilitators of student learning and appropriate teaching employ a variety of techniques; they appropriate students' diverse life contexts and prior experience. The students and the teachers are prepared to conduct some experiments with new approaches. In relation to the principles of CLT, teaching grammar in context attempts

tn improve e through proach. e goal of j ate both ;language rr the way to create :) states e ways in can be language rules are may also is needed e can not) make a

eative

language Teaching develop which maximize without approach dents use contexts learning that ans nguage is ners have petence. a proper of d have for the

actively active e another teamwork teachers ming and teaching diverse The ace. epared to ith new iples of attempts

.0 adapt those principles. First, in inquiry, the : achers can observe and progress students' of grammar and the students understanding analyze grammar from the text given by the eachers. Second, in questioning, they guide , d assess the student's understanding of ammar in the text. Third, 'in constructivism, :.':ey guide the students to construct their prior .nowledge of grammar with new experience analyzing grammar in text. Fourth, in -:-.0 eling, they give the students examples or -=.0 els how to construct grammar to be a ence, a sentence, paragraph, even a text. 2:: 'th in authentic assessment, they measure knowledge and skill(grammar ceir vledge and writing skill) from relevant ontextualized tasks. ~.:

- egrating Grammar in the ~ mmunicative Approach

The importance of formal instruction ::-omoting second language acquisition, it that without grammar it would be ible to communicate effectively and to erstood. English is learnt as foreign language not as second language ka, (2001)

rners benefit from some 11:21 type of the learners activate pervious knowledge $\cdot \sim$ of the target set to facilitate awareness of the forms ill encounter. Wood(1981) asserts that -20 knowledge of grammar system is - .~:ial a foreign language to master and the most important part of -;::rnnicative competence. He generalizes ar as a framework without which 1207 ge can not be structured and a message . - be conveyed smoothly and fluently.

In text sequencing, the students have to to the relationship attention between par and context to sequence out-of order e.g. clauses, sentences, or paragraph) of tex. In text formation, the students recast erent contexts and communicative ----: for example: rewriting a set of rules - : rmal command focusing on mood and iry; recasting a spoken explanation by - . e about how she makes something as a 50 100111 text about how something is made, focu g on voice choice (active or passive woice).

The Interweaving of Grammar and WritingContext

Grammar plays the most important aspect in writing, bad grammar makes writing imprecisely or ambiguous. kolln(1981) states that without grammar, that is without rules to govern the arrangement of words and making of their plurals, tense and so on, meaning could not be made clear by writers or understood by readers.

Grammar is worth studying because it can help us to express our ideas clearly and effectively in both speech and writing. particularly Weakness in wntmg incorrectness of sentence structure- is often due to insufficient understanding of grammar. This study, therefore, is focused on teaching grammar in writing context. then an experiment is conducted to compare teaching grammar in context with conventional grammar. It is intended to prove that teaching grammar in writing context is more effective than teaching grammar conventionally. to explore grammar in context, the learners have the chance to see how and why different can be used to express forms different communicative meanmgs Grammar in writing context as communicative aprroach also could encourage students to use the forms in expressing their own content and to help students see the usefulness of what they have learned (Weaver 1996). What all students need is guidance in understanding and applying these aspects of grammar that most relevant to writing. are Teaching grammar in context includes suggestions that we teach a minimum of grammar for maximum benefit.

In addition, as part of language, grammar in 'context can relate grammar teaching to situational context. it means that language can be easily understood in relation to the context in which grammar is used. Relating to the writing, the context of situation in the construction of any language text needs three variables : field (what students are going to write), tenor (to whom students write) and mode (how students use language to convey message, information, or idea Widiati,(1996)

B. RESEARCH METHODOLGY

This research uses an experimental study to measure the effectiveness of teaching grammar writing m context as communicative approach То measure whether writing context as communicative approach in teaching grammar can apply in grammar class more accurately is more effective than teaching grammar in pattern drills.

Research Variables

In this study, teaching grammar in writing context as communicative approach is independent variable since it influences the teaching learning process. The result of the research in the form of the students' grammar achievement as dependent variables.

Treatment

The two –selected groups were taught in different ways. The experimental group was taught grammar by employing writing context communicative approach while as the as control group was taught by using pattern practice drills or conventional method.

Table3.3Essential **Features** in The Teaching Technique of Grammar in Writing Context as Communicative **Approach and conventional Method**

Teaching Grammar in	Teaching grammar in			
Writing Context as	Pattern practice			
Communicative	drills(control group)			
Approach. (experimental				
group)				
• Introduce the	• Introduce the			
concept of	concept of			
grammar form,	grammar form,			
the grammar	the grammar			
lecture explain	lecture explain			
the rule and the	the rule and the			
concept.	concept.			
• Have the	• Have the			
students submit	students submit			
the students'	the students'			
response on	response on			
adjective clause	adjective clause			
as their	as their			
assignment	assignment			
before having	before having			
discussion.	discussion.			
• Have the	• Have the			
students	students			
perform the	perform the			
presentation,	presentation,			
discussion,	discussion,			

questions and

response on the

	material, adjective clause.	material, adjective clause.
•	Get the students to do exercises such as class room test(multiple choice and fill the blanks)	• The lecture enables students to describe a rule of grammar and apply it in pattern practice drills.
•	Have the students write a paragraph with the particular topic involving the material related to	• Get the students to do exercises such as room test(multiple choice and f II the blanks)
	adjective clause (grammar in writing context as task based)	• The lecture discusses the students' answer then give f eedback
•	Get students to reword the incorrect passages to eliminate the	and positive wash back. • The last, give
	errors. If the students look the error up again or have him ask questions involving the correct usage in	the test and the form of exercises related to adjective clause.
•	grammatical situation. Remind the students that if they ever have questions about grammar, consulting a grammar book can be help.fit!.	• The last, assess the students' achievement in grammar by using multiple choices test, because it enables her to measure the effectiveness of
•	Be certain the students understand the rules that they violated originally. Repeat this process with more than one of the students' papers or written text. Give feedback	the specific learning objective.
	and positive washback on their writing. Assess their writing by using primary trait score which thelecturer just consider the	

100 010

ter da te fin and and TOTA TAL THURSDAY -----and in the 100000000 CULTURE Pattern mils Conter-GITTE antik ीला ior th out to 216 100

consider the

questions. and

response on the

erial, , ive clause.

· .eclllre Hes students cescribe a Q grammar apply it in ttern practice

the students xercises :- JS room multiple " ce and fill [lanks)

e tecture cusses the dents' twer then e feedbac d positive sh back.

e last, give test and the m of rcises ated to lec us

1 - L assess , dents' nevement in mmar by ng ltiple choices , because it bles her to asure the retiveness of specific ming ective.

usage and application on adjective clause.	
• The last, assess the students'	
achievement in	
grammar by	
using multiple choices	
test. because it	
enables her to	
measure the	
effectiveness of	
the specific	
learning	
objective	

The procedure of two techniques involves the e phase of teaching learning activities. They are (1) Pre-activities, (2) Whilst -_~ ivities, and (3) Post- activities.

Population and Samples

The target population of this research could be expected to cover the fourth semester of English Department of STKIP ?GRI Jombang in academic year 2014/2015. ?rom the target population, the present study nly two classes were taken as the samples. --- e researchers employed 2012 consisting . as control group and 2012 B consisting 40 s: dents as the sample.

Research Instrument

The instrument of the study to collect three data was test. The test is constructed in the form of multiple choices. In this study the lest were divided into pretest and posttest. ? test was given before treatment. The jective of holding pretest was to know the the st dents before treatment. And posttest was

:-~ching_Method	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
rarnmar in writing ontext as mmunicative opproach	76.25	6.578	40
2. em practice Ils	64.50	8.973	40
-	7	9.80	80

administrated in order to know the e: ectiveness of teaching grammar in writing context on students' grammar achievement _-: r conducting the treatment. In preparing :: Ir the test the researcher did the procedure __ h as (1) developing and constructing test , _ trying out the planned test validating the .est. (3) analyzing the result of try out , (4) alidating the test.

Data Collection

In accordance with the research design of this research, the process of data collection generally done in this research was categorized into 3 steps or phases. Those were pre-testing, treatment process and posttesting.in this research, the reserachers used multiple choices test, because it enabled them to measure the effectiveness of the specific learning objective

Data Analysis

In this research, the scores of the grammar of both experimental group and control were counted and analyzed This research employed Analysis of Covariance was to see the difference experimental group that was taught grammar by using a student portfolio in communicative approach while the control group that was taught in pattern practice drills.

Research Findings

Here are some points that explained in data analysis, those are the description analysis of Pre-test score, the description of posttest score and analysis of covariance as describe below:

The Description of pre-test score. The descriptive statistic of learners' pretest both of experimental and control group presented in a table below:

Descrintive Statistics of pretest

					Std.
					Deviati
		Teaching Method	N	Mean	on
Pretest cores	S	In writing context as communicative approach	40	57.75	7.005
		Pattern practice drills	40	59.75	5.300

The Description of Post Test Score

The descriptive statistic of students' posttest both of experimental and control class. **Descriptive Statistics of posttest**

The table of descriptive statistics of posttest shows that mean score of experimental group (in this case Grammar in writing context as communicative approach) is 76.25 (s.d. = 6.578). The increase of mean score from pretest mean score is 14.23 or 31 % while the mean score of control group (in this case Pattern practice drills) is 64.50(s.d. = 9.801) and the increase of the mean score is

1.46 or 3.6 %. The increase of the mean score of grammar in writing context as communicative approach is higher than the practice pattern group.

Analysis of Covariance

In analysis of covariance, it was used pretest and posttest score. The analysis of covariance presented in this tablebelow

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent

Variablepostt est

Sour ce	Type Ill Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig	Partial Eta Squared
Corre cted Mod el	3346.5443	2	1673.272	30371	00	.441
Inter cept	4497.897	Ι	4497.897	81.641	00 0	.515
Prete st	585.294	Ι	585.294	10.624	.00 2	.121
Meth od	3024342	Ι	3024342	54.895	.00 0	.416
Error	4242.206	77	55.094			
Total	403800.000	80				
Corre cted Total	7588. 750	79				

a R Squared - .441 (Adjusted R Squared= .426)

Based on the table of Tests of Between-Subjects Effectsit can be seen that line the independent variable (in this case teaching method) finds an F-value of the effect of teaching method 3024.342(p< .000) and column labeled Sig the value is .000 where it is less than 0.01 (an alternative alpha level). It means that two groups both of experimental and control differ significantly. The variance in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variable is 12.1%. We can see in the value of Eta Squared column and multiple it by 100%.

In line pretest finds an F-value of the effect of pretest 10.624 (p< .000) column sig, the value is 0.000 (which actually means less than 0.01). This is less than 0.01, therefore the covariate is significant. In fact it explained 41.5% of the variance in the dependent

variable (eta squared of 0.121 multipli 100).

Hypothesis Testing

In table test-subject teaching -FROM THE showed that the value of he -value is3024.342and the significant value is 0.005 Thus, it can be concluded that b The experimental and control groups ener significantly. This means that the alt STREET hypothesis (Ha) revealed that s nects Grammar through the implementati 1 00 writing context as communcative a prose given to students in thefourth sem ster theEnglish Department STKIP PGP Jombang better achievement than s who were taught using the practice of En= sentence patterns or pattern drills acce and Ho is rejected.

C. DISCUSSION

Based on the result of the data analysis discussion in the previous chapters. Stud -'grammar mastery taught in writing con " as communicative approach gain be achievement than those taught in patt :exercise drills it can be concluded that grammar is more than an area knowledge for language learners to study. It includes knowledge of how to use language whi some psycholinguist Anderson (2005) ha," called procedural knowledge or impli : knowledge as opposed to declarative knowledge or explicit knowledge. In fac many applied linguists believe that th learners' ability to communicate can b developed alongside their evolvinz knowledge about grammar. Through lot of opportunities to explore grammar in context. the learners will have the chance to see how and why different, forms can be used to express different communicative meanings Littlewood (1981)

Students taught in writing context a task based approach in learning adjective clause have significantly better grammar achievement than those taught in pattern exercise drills. T alle

S HULL

100 ST

STREET, DESCRIPTION OF A

CTRIMATET.

DEBUS I

Intita lea

150 300

Iners are

Nuppest

The best way to teach the rules of grammar is to allow the students to see them, use them and memorize them through examples. One simple method is to use a student' written work as an aid and go through the correction l iplied by

g methods F-value е e is 0.005. - both the differed alternative subjects ~ ation of approach mester in IP PGRI students ~ of English - accepted

alysis and Students ing context better in pattern luded that wledge for includes ge which .005) have implicit eclarative In fact. that the can be evolving gh lot of context. o see how used to meanings

> ontext a adjective grammar pattern

rrarnmar is use them les. One : written orrection

 $=\sim$ er b Across the various languages and of grammar, perhaps the most s systems practiced traditional approach widely --::a ical instruction has been portrayed as .:« three Ps- present, practice, produce Larsen-Freemanf 2009)

Teaching grammar in writing context :- one of techniques which is much more ective than teaching grammar as a separate subject or as in conventional one. But firstly ...e should give the concept, the pattern of the grammar and some practices or exercises in "ills. Then we have students apply in their .\Titing. Weaver (1996) emphasizes that

There are no miracles here. That is eaching grammar in the context of writing ill not automatically mean that once taught, concept will be learned and applied ever after. On the contrary, grammatical :: oncept must often be taught and re taught to as to groups or classes r.dividuals and dents continued to need guidance m ccrually applying what they have.

D. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION Conclusion

Based on the result of the data _-: Iysis and discussion in the previous ."-apters, it shows that there is a significant earners in the control and the experimental _ oups. Therefore the gain score in the e erimental group led to the rejection of the . Il hypothesis and accepting alternative ..., hothesis which stated that that students' ::-ammar achievement taught in writing communicative approach get : ntext as er than those taught in pattern practice _lls_Teaching_grammar in writing_context s applied to the grammar coursein order to students adopt a communicative celp -:- roach which also apply procedural .nowledge and declarative knowledge. It -:.eans that in grammar class, students not .::ly learn the grammar to the patterns but so apply knowledge of sentence grammar ey are written. Suggestion

Based on the results of this research ,;-ogress report, it is suggested todevelop a rea her or lecturer. Teaching grammar in -; iring context although the process is a little complicated, but it will greatly help the teacher to get the maximum learning.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, J. (2005). Mechanically Inclined. Portland, Maine: Stenhouse Publishers.
- Brown, H.D.2001 Teaching by Principles: an Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy 2nd Edition. San Francisco

- Celce-Marcia, M. (1991). Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language. Heinel Boston, Massachosetts: & Division Heinel Publishers, а of Wadsworth, Inc.
- Cook, V. (1991). Second Language Learning and Language Teaching. London: Edward Arnold, a Division of Hodder & Stoughton.
- Derewienka, Β. (2001). Pedagogical Their Role in English Grammars : Language Teaching, In Analyzing English in a Global Context, p.240
- Ellis, Rod. (2006). Current Issues in the Teaching of Grammar. An SLA Perspective. TESOL Quarterly. 40(1): 83-107.
- Kolln, Martha. (1981). Closing The Books on Alchemy. College Composition and Communication
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2009). Teaching and Testing Grammar. In Long, M.H. & Catherine, J. Doughty (ed.), The Handbook of Language Teaching (pp. 518-542). Malde, MA: Wiley Blackwell.
- W. (1981). Littlewood, Communicative Teaching. New Language York: Cambridge University
- Press.Lock, G. (1996). Functional English Grammar. New York : Cambridge University Press.
- J.C. Rodgers, T.S. (1986). Richards, *Methods* Language Approaches in Teaching.New Cambridge York: University Press.
- Savignon, S.J. (1997).Communicative Competence: Theory and Classroom Practice. NewYork: McGraw Hill.